

Resolution 2012-13: 38
Schematic Design Approval – School #5

By Board Chair Giess

WHEREAS, the Rochester School Facilities Modernization Program Act (“the Act”) established the Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board (“RJSCB”), a seven voting member board consisting of equal representation by the City of Rochester (“City”) and the Rochester City School District (“District”), as well as a member jointly selected by the City and the District; and

WHEREAS, under the Act, the RJSCB has certain enumerated powers to act as agent for the District, the City, or both; and

WHEREAS, the RJSCB entered into an agreement with Young + Wright Architectural (“Young + Wright”), dated November 15, 2011, with respect to the renovations to occur at School #5 (Agreement between Board and Architect); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Agreement between Board and Architect, Young + Wright has performed and completed the Program Verification Phase and has now submitted the Schematic Design Documents to the Program Manager and Building Advisory Committee members for review; and

WHEREAS, Building Advisory Committee (BAC) meetings have been held throughout the schematic design process for School #5 which include participants from the Program Manager, the Architect, representatives from the RCSD facilities department, the City of Rochester, the building principal, teachers, staff, parents, and community members; and

WHEREAS, the Program Manager and the Building Advisory Committee members have approved the Schematic Design Documents for the School #5 project; and

WHEREAS, Young + Wright presented the Schematic Design Documents for School #5 to the RJSCB, at its regular monthly meeting on September 10, 2012, for review and approval;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The Schematic Design Documents for the School #5 project are approved.
2. The Program Manager is hereby authorized to direct the Architect for School #5 (Young + Wright Architectural) to proceed with the next phase in the design process, the Design Development Phase, pursuant to the Agreement between Board and Architect.

Second by Board Member Brown

Approved 4-0 with Member Underwood abstaining and Member Castro away