

Resolution 2020-21: 66

Change Order #77 to Hewitt Young Construction Contract (East Campus – Phase 2b)

By Board Member

WHEREAS, the Rochester School Facilities Modernization Program Act (“the Act”) established the Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board (“RJSCB” or “Board”), a seven voting member board consisting of equal representation by the City of Rochester (“City”) and the Rochester City School District (“District”), as well as a member jointly selected by the City and the District; and

WHEREAS, under the Act, the RJSCB has certain enumerated powers to act as agent for the District, the City, or both; and

WHEREAS, the authorizing legislation for Phase 2 of the Rochester Schools Modernization Program (“RSMP”) was signed into law by the Governor of the State of New York on December 17, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the amended Act authorized up to 26 projects in Phase 2 of the RSMP including a District Wide Technology program which involves technology upgrades and infrastructure work at several of the possible projects; and

WHEREAS, for Phase 2 of the RSMP, the RJSCB intends to undertake 14 projects for the design, reconstruction, or rehabilitation of existing school buildings for their continued use by the District (collectively, the “Phase 2 Projects”), which have been further defined as Phase 2a, Phase 2b, Phase 2c and Phase 2d, plus a DWT project at each of the Phase 2 school buildings; and

WHEREAS, the East Campus Modernization Project (“East”) is one of the projects included in Phase 2 of the RSMP as provided in the Phase 2 Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, the RJSCB approved the award of the electrical contract to Hewitt Young Electric, LLC (“Hewitt”) for the East project in Phase 2 (Resolution 2018-19: 51); and

WHEREAS, the RJSCB entered into a contract with Hewitt (Resolution 2018-19: 51) dated September 10, 2018 (the “Contract”), to perform the scope of services defined in the contract documents as the electrical contractor’s scope of work; and

WHEREAS, following the execution of the Contract, Hewitt, along with The Pike Company, Inc. (the Construction Manager), and the Program Manager, identified changes in the scope of work, and cost proposals were then obtained for these changes in scope items and provided to the Construction Manager and the Program Manager for review; and

WHEREAS, Change Order #77 includes the following items:

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Per RFI 357, in the F-Wing, due to omission of fire alarm notification devices on the Electrical Plans, additional Fire Alarm audio/visual devices were added to office spaces located in the F-Wing to provide adequate coverage (Design Inconsistency). | \$ 2,739.62 |
|---|-------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>CREDIT: Per RFI 537, in the B-Wing courtyard, due to tree obstructions in the courtyard, two fire alarm audio/visual devices were removed from the plans as they were blocked by existing trees located in the courtyard. The other two audio visual devices still located in the courtyard were not obstructed by any trees and still provided the required coverage even with the removal of the other two devices.</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ (292.00)</p> |
| <p>Per IB 234, in the E-Wing, room E-101 (Metals Cafe), due to the addition of a new exit door, a new door open indicator, exit sign and fire alarm pull station were required in order to properly indicate the new path of egress. A code required fire alarm pull station was also provided near the exit.</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 1,887.04</p> |
| <p>Per IB 282, in the F-Wing, room F-107A, due to the addition of a code required egress passageway, existing raceway had to be removed in order to accommodate the removal of the existing door and frame and installation of the new code compliant egress door.</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 199.59</p> |
| <p>Per RFI 642, new data cables were required from existing locations outside of the original scope of the project (e.g. nurses suite), that the school required for proper school operations to take place. The IP addresses needed to be brought onto the new network.</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 7,440.87</p> |
| <p>Per RFI 646, in the F-Wing, stairway FS-2, an area of refuge call button was not indicated in this stairway where an area of refuge call button is required (Design Inconsistency).</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 1,929.52</p> |
| <p>Per IB 313, in the C-Wing, room C-202AB, due to C-202A being converted from one room as originally indicated in the Record Documents into two rooms, an additional fire alarm audio/visual device was needed to accommodate the room split and provide adequate coverage within the space.</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 716.27</p> |
| <p>Per RFI 655, in the A and B-Wings, on doors AC-1.3, AC-1.5 and BC-1.2, the electric strikes in the Contract Documents were not compatible with the surface vertical rod panic devices that are currently installed on the doors. As a result, electric latch retraction panic devices and power supplies were provided (Design Inconsistency).</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 1,123.77</p> |
| <p>Per IB 317R1, in the D-Wing East and West, Corridors DC-1.1, DC-1.11, DC-2.1, DC-2.7, DC-3.4 and FC-3.2, during a field visit to generate a Punch list, it was identified that the second and third floor corridors from the D-Wings to the F-Wing did not have adequate lights through the</p> | <p style="text-align: right;">\$ 6,979.76</p> |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| corridor. Due to this, additional lights were indicated to be added (Design Inconsistency). | |
| Per IB 320, In the D-Wing East, room D-132, after further coordination, it was determined that the T-Series drawings indicated a floor mounted (floor box) data receptacle for a teaching station with no power receptacle next to it. Due to this, an added circuit and power receptacle was added to provide power at the teaching station as well (Design Inconsistency). | \$ 2,755.41 |
| Per IB 321, in the D-Wing East, Corridors DC-1.10, DC-2.6, and DC-3.3, the electrical drawings indicated the existing lighting to be removed from the display cases located within the D-Wing East corridors. After further discussion, it was identified by the owner to replace these existing lights within the display cases rather than just remove the old light fixtures. | \$ 6,354.37 |
| | |

The total amount of Change Order #77 is **\$31,834.22**; and

WHEREAS, the Construction Manager and the Program Manager believe that the change order work is necessary, the pricing is appropriate and the Contract Time will not be increased by the foregoing changes; and

WHEREAS, the Program Manager thereafter recommended to the RJSCB that Hewitt’s Contract should be amended to add the scope item for an increase in the Contract Sum of **\$31,834.22**; and

WHEREAS, the RJSCB Board considered, discussed and deliberated the Program Manager’s recommendation at its May 10, 2021 regular meeting.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The Rochester Joint Schools Construction Board hereby approves the proposed Change Orders to HYE’s Contract between the Board and Contractor dated September 10, 2018 as set forth above; and
2. The RJSCB Chair is hereby authorized, in the name and on behalf of the RJSCB, to execute the Change Orders to the Contract that are consistent with this approval and in an acceptable form to the Chair upon the advice of the Program Manager and the RJSCB’s general counsel.

Second by